. 1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT 46 HON. DAVID R. FIELDS, JUDGE
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )
5	PLAINTIFF,
6	VS. )SUPERIOR COURT )NO. BA418371
7	SAMUEL GARUNTS,
. 8	DEFENDANT.
9	·
10	
11	
12	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13	(PRELIMINARY HEARING EXAMINATION)
14	FRIDAY, MARCH 28, 2014
15	
16	
17	
18	APPEARANCES:
19	FOR THE PEOPLE: STEVE COOLEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: L. CHRISTMAS BROOKENS, DEPUTY
20	
21	FOR DEFENDANT: MARK J. GERAGOS, ESQ.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26   27	
28	HTA: APRIL 11, 2014 LAUREN B. JONES, CSR NO. 7007 DEPT. 114 OFFICIAL REPORTER

Γ

8.1

1	A THAT WAS A DAY OR TWO AFTER AS I RECALL.
2	IT WAS VERY SOON AFTER THE INCIDENT.
3	Q SO THIS IS WHEN SHE WAS IN THE HOSPITAL AS
4	YOU TESTIFIED TO EARLIER?
5	A CORRECT.
6	Q WHEN WAS THE NEXT TIME YOU SPOKE TO HER?
7	A THAT I BELIEVE WAS ABOUT A MONTH AGO A
8	MONTH LATER AFTER THAT.
9	Q AND
10	THE COURT: A MONTH LATER OR A MONTH AGO?
11	A I'M SORRY. A MONTH AFTER THIS INCIDENT.
12	THE COURT: OKAY. THE MORNING AFTER.
13	Q BY MS. BROOKENS: SO SOMETIME IN JUNE?
14	A SOMETIME IN JUNE.
15	Q AND WHEN YOU SPOKE TO HER IN JUNE, WHAT DID
16	SHE TELL YOU HAPPENED AT THAT TIME?
17	A SO SHE SAID THAT SHE HAD BEEN OUT DRINKING
18	WITH SOME OF HER FRIENDS. SHE HAD HAD SEVERAL DRINKS,
19	WENT TO A COUPLE OF BARS, AND THEN THIS IS HER WALKING
20	HOME.
21	Q AND AS SHE WAS WALKING HOME, DID SHE
22	INDICATE WHAT HAD HAPPENED?
23	A YES. SHE SAID SHE WAS APPROACHED BY FOUR
24	MALES WHO MADE SOME STATEMENT TO HER. "YOU FAG. TAKE
25	THAT OFF. YOU LOOK STUPID." THOSE KINDS OF COMMENTS.
26	Q AND WHEN SHE INDICATED SHE WAS WALKING
27	HOME, DID SHE INDICATE IF SHE WAS WITH ANYONE?
28	MR. GERAGOS: THERE WOULD BE AN OBJECTION TO THE

```
A I TAKE THAT BACK. HE SAID THREE AS I
 1
2
    RECALL.
 3
          O ASK WHEN HE SAID THAT HE SAW THREE MALES
    KICKING WHAT APPEARED TO BE A FEMALE ON THE GROUND, DID
    HE INDICATRE WHERE THEY WERE KICKING THIS INDIVIDUAL?
 5
                YES. HE SAID MOSTLY THE HEAD AND ALSO THE
 6
          Α
    BODY AS WELL.
7
            AND WHILE THEY WERE KICKING THIS
8
    INDIVIDUAL, DID HE INDICATE IF THEY WERE SAYING
9
10
    ANYTHING?
         THE COURT: THERE'S WHAT --
11
         MS. BROOKENS: DID HE INDICATE IF THEY WERE SAYING
12
13
   ANYTHING.
14
         THE COURT: OKAY.
         THE WITNESS: AS I RECALL, IT WAS "FUCKING BITCH."
15
               BY MS. BROOKENS: AND DID MR. JARVIS
16
    INDICATE WHILE THIS FEMALE WAS BEING KICKED, WHAT, IF
17
    ANYTHING, WAS SHE DOING?
18
               HE SAID THAT SHE WAS CRYING.
19
               DID HE INDICATE IF THIS FEMALE EVER TRIED
20
          0
21
    TO GET UP?
         A HE SAID SHE DID NOT.
22
23
                DID HE INDICATE IF THIS FEMALE EVER TRIED
    TO STOP THESE INDIVIDUALS FROM HITTING?
24
                 SHE DID NOT.
25
               DID SHE EVER TRY TO WHAT?
26
         MS. BROOKENS: STOP THESE INDIVIDUALS FROM
27
28
   HITTING.
```

1	WAS TRANSGENDER OR CROSS-DRESSING OR ANYTHING; CORRECT?
2	A CORRECT.
3	Q HE SAID THAT THAT PERSON WAS ACTING CRAZY
4	AND WAS FIGHTING WITH THE OTHER GENTLEMAN WHO WE SAW
5	THAT WAS IN WHATEVER CAMERA ANGLE THAT WAS, THAT WAS IN
6	THE ALCOVE; CORRECT?
7	A CORRECT.
8	Q THAT GENTLEMAN WHO WAS IN THE ALCOVE
9	BY THE TO WAY, WHEN WE STOPPED THAT FRAME
LO	OF THAT CAMERA AND WE SAW JUST KIND OF A SIDE VERSION OF
11	HIM, HE WAS THROWING UP, WAS HE NOT?
12	A THAT'S CORRECT.
13	Q HE WAS VOMITING? SHE'S AT A .2, OR IT'S AT
14	A .2 AT A1?
15	MS. BROOKENS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE WITNESS: OH, WOW.
17	MR. GERAGOS: WELL
18	THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU SAY?
19	THE WITNESS: I SAID, "WOW."
20	
21	MS. BROOKENS: I'M GOING TO OBJECT THAT HE
22	REFERRED TO HIM AS "IT."
23	THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO COMMENT.
24	YOU'RE SAYING LET'S JUST SAY
25	MR. GERAGOS: WELL, THE LEGAL NAME IS "MR. DIEGO";
26	SO SHOULD I CALL HIM "MR. DIEGO"?
27	THE COURT: LET'S JUST REFER TO IT AS >
28	I THINK FOR THE RECORD, YOU WERE REFERRING

```
MR. -- OFFICER MORRIS DESCRIBED IT AND HOW HE DESCRIBED
1
   RANDY JARVIS' TESTIMONY.
2
         MS. BROOKENS: YEAH, AND THEY KICKING HER.
3
         THE COURT: ON THE HEAD AND THE BODY.
4
         MS. BROOKENS: AND CALLING HER A "BITCH" OR --
5
        THE COURT: "FUCKING BITCH."
6
         MS. BROOKENS: AND OFFICER MORRIS ALSO TESTIFIED
7
    THAT HE WAS -- HE TALKED TO MISS DIEGO, AND HE WAS
    PRESENT WHEN SHE TESTIFIED AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING.
9
                AND HER TESTIMONY WAS SHE SUFFERED A
10
   FRACTURED JAW, WHICH WAS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT MR. MORRIS
11
   SAID. THE AREAS IN WHICH IS HE SAID SHE WAS BEING
12
    KICKED. CLEARLY, THERE IS G.B.I. IN THIS CASE.
13 l
          THE COURT: JUST FOR THE RECORD, GREAT BODILY
14
     INJURY.
15
          MS. BROOKENS: GREAT BODILY INJURY.
16
           THE COURT: AND WE ALL KNOW THIS, JUST SPENT
17.
    REVIEWING THIS.
18
           MS. BROOKENS: AND THE COMMENT THAT MR. JARVIS
 19
     INDICATED HE HEARD, A "FUCKING BITCH" -- THAT DOESN'T
 20
     LEND ITSELF TO SELF-DEFENSE OR, "SOMEBODY HELP US." AS
 21
     COUNSEL INDICATED, THERE WERE OTHER PEOPLE ON THE
 22
     STREET. MR. JARVIS CAME OUT. THESE INDIVIDUALS FLED
 23
      THE SCENE.
 24
                  MR. KHACHATRIAN DESCRIBED HIMSELF AS
 25
     GUSHING LIKE A WATERFALL AND YET HE'S RUNNING FROM THE
 26
      SCENE. NO MEDICAL RECORDS HAVE BEEN SUPPLIED. NO ONE
 27
      CAME FORWARD. SELF-DEFENSE MUST EXIST AT THAT TIME THAT
 28
```

HE WAS THE NIGHT STALKER. THEY FOUND THEM, THEY BEAT 1 THE CRAP OUT OF HIM, AND THEY HELD HIM HERE. 2 THE COURT: HOLD ON. 3 MR. GERAGOS: THEY CALLED POLICE. 4 THE COURT: NO ONE CALLED THE POLICE HERE. 5 WAIT. WAIT. WAIT, COUNSEL. NO ONE NO. 6 CALLED THE POLICE, COUNSEL. HE DIDN'T LIKE TAP, TAP 7 HER, PUT HER THE GROUND. NOT HURT HER, JUST TAPPED HER 8 ON THE GROUND AND, SAY, HEY' YOU JUST CUT OUR GUY. 9 WE'RE CALLING THE COPS. THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN HERE. 10 MR. GERAGOS: WOULD YOU DO THAT? BECAUSE I 11 WOULDN'T. I'M NOT GOING TO TOUCH THIS FREAK AS SHE'S 12 RUNNING DOWN THE STREET AT A .28 WITH A BOX CUTTER. 13 THE COURT: LET'S NOT REFER TO SOMEONE AS A 14 "FREAK," COUNSEL. 15 MR. GERAGOS: THIS IS A FREAK. WHY DO WE HAVE 16 17 TO --WHY DO WE HAVE TO ABANDON WHAT OUR COMMON 18 SENSE SAYS? THIS IS SOMEBODY WHO'S WALKING DOWN THE 19 STREET WHO IS A .28, WHO IS WALKING WITH SOMEBODY WHO'S 20 PUKING THEIR GUTS OUT ON SIDEWALK, WHO TAKES A BOX 21 CUTTER, TURNS AROUND AND HITS SOMEBODY, AND YOU'RE 22 TELLING ME THAT I CAN'T CALL THAT PERSON A "FREAK"? 23 THE COURT: NO. NO. MAYBE HER BEHAVIOR IS 24 FREAKISH --25 MR. GERAGOS: HER BEHAVIOR IS FREAKISH. 26 THE COURT: BUT I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD REFER TO 27 HER AS A "FREAK." 28

MR. GERAGOS: I WILL ACCEPT THAT. BUT IT'S --1 THE COURT: BUR YOU'RE SAYING HER BEHAVIOR IS 2 FREAKISH? I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. 3 MR. GERAGOS: IF I'M WALKING DOWN THE STREET AND 4 SOMEBODY'S ACTING "FREAKIN'" CRAZY AND THEN THEY CUT THE 5 PERSON I'M WITH -- IF THEY HAVE CUT MY SON OR THEY CUT 6 MY WIFE OR STABBED THEM, WHATEVER THEY DO, I'M GOING TO 7 DO THE EXACT SAME THING, AND I'M GOING TO VOLUNTEER TO 8 DEFEND ANYBODY FOR FREE WHO DOES THE EXACT SAME THING. 9 THIS IS SO LUDICROUS, I DON'T EVEN 10 UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT; HOW IS IT THAT THEY 11 SAY CAN COME ALONG AND THEY CAN STAB SOMEBODY TWICE AND 12 THEN THEY'RE GOING TO JUST SASHAY DOWN THE STREET AND 13 YOU CAN'T KNOCK THEM IN THE HEAD? OF COURSE YOU CAN 14 KNOCK THEM IN THE HEAD. 15 I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE TALKING 16 ABOUT. WHERE IS THE LAW THAT SAYS I CAN'T -- DEFENSE OF 17 OTHERS? I'M SUPPOSED TO THAT PERSON GO DOWN THE STREET 18 AND AFTER THEY FINISH STABBING THE GUY NEXT TO ME, LET 19 HIM STAB SOMEBODY ELSE? 20 THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY "DEFENSE OF," YOU'RE 21 TALKING ABOUT THE RIGHT TO SELF-DEFENSE OR THE RIGHT --22 MR. GERAGOS: WELL, YOU HAVE THAT. YOU HAVE GOT 23 FLEEING FELON. YOU HAVE THE ABILITY --24 I KNOW YOU KEEP SHAKING YOUR HEAD ON THAT. 25 THE COURT: I DON'T THINK IT'S FLEEING FELON 26 BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T DO ANYTHING --27 MR. GERAGOS: THEY DON'T HAVE TO. THEY DON'T HAVE 28

1 TOO. THE COURT: YOU'RE SAYING, OKAY. 2 3 GO AHEAD. RESUME IF YOU LIKE. MR. GERAGOS: YOU DO NOT HAVE TO --4 IN ORDER TO USE DEADLY FORCE, YOU HAVE THE 5 ABILITY UNDER THE LAW TO CHASE SOMEONE DOWN, WHO HAS 6 JUST STABBED SOMEONE WHO'S NEXT TO YOU. THERE IS 7 NOTHING IN THE LAW AT THAT PRECLUDES THAT. THAT IS AN 8 ARGUMENT THAT GOES TO NICOL. 9 THE COURT: BUT ISN'T IT THAT YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO 10 USE NO MORE FORCE THAN IS REASONABLY NECESSARY TO DEFEND 11 AGAINST THAT DANGER? AND IN THIS CASE, YOU HAVE A 12 STAB -- A STAB ON THE ELBOW --13 MR. GERAGOS: AND A SIDE. 14 THE COURT: AND A SIDE. SHE'S KICKED MULTIPLE 15 TIMES IN HEAD, AND THEN THEY RUN AWAY. 16 MR. GERAGOS: NOT BY MY CLIENT. THE BEST THING 17 YOU CAN SAY IS THAT MAYBE WHEN HE HOPPED OVER HER, THAT 18 WAS A KICK. 19 THE COURT: MR. JARVIS IS SAYING THAT HE SAW THREE 20 PEOPLE. CLEARLY, THE THREE PEOPLE WOULD HAVE BEEN YOUR 21 CLIENT BECAUSE WE KNOW THE GENTLEMAN WHO HAS STABBED 22 COMES LAST. KICKING HER ON THE GROUND. KICKING HER ON 23 THE HEAD AND IN THE BODY. 24 MR. GERAGOS: YOU SAW THE VIDEO. THAT WASN'T ON 25 THE VIDEO. SO NOW WE ARE GOING TO RELY ON JARVIS? WHAT 26

JARVIS SAW? JARVIS DIDN'T SEE WHAT WAS ON THE VIDEO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, THIS IS THE WAY I

28

27

SEE IT: I SEE IT DIFFERENTLY, MR. GERAGOS. WHAT I SEE 1 IN THIS CASE IS CERTAINLY, THERE IS I WAVING OF THE ARM, 2 AND IT APPEARED -- AND LET ME GET NAME RIGHT, SARKIS WAS 3 CUT. OKAY? 4 BUT, AT THAT POINT, SHE STARTS WALKING DOWN 5 THE STREET. SHE IS NOT RETURNING TO THEM. SHE'S NOT 6 RETURNING TO THEM. SHE'S NOT ENGAGING THEM IN ANY KIND 7 OF FIGHT, AND I DON'T BELIEVE THAT AT THAT POINT --8 IF YOU LOOK AT THE VIDEO, SHE EVEN CROSSES 9 THE STREET, ACROSS THE INTERSECTION. THEY'RE ON THE 10 OTHER SIDE. THERE'S NO DANGER, AT THAT POINT, OF HER 11 RETURNING TO THEM. SHE'S NOT EVEN TURNING AROUND TO 12 MAYBE YELL THINGS AT THEM. SHE'S GOING ON HER WAY. 13 14 OKAY? SHE'S CROSSED THE STREET. SHE IS -- SHE'S 15 NOT SEEKING ANYBODY OUT AT THAT POINT. SHE SEPARATED 16 HERSELF FROM THEM, AND I DON'T FIND THAT AT THAT POINT, 17 YOUR CLIENT HAD REASON TO BELIEVE THAT HE OR ANYBODY 18

ELSE WAS IN IMMINENT DANGER OF SUFFERING BODILY INJURY AT THAT POINT OR THAT HE BELIEVED THAT THE MEDIA -- OR THAT HE REASONABLY BELIEVED IMMEDIATE USE FORCE WAS NECESSARY TO DEFENSE AGAINST THAT DANGER. TO ME, THE DANGER HAS PASSED HAD AT THAT POINT.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SHE MAY HAVE CUT HIM. IN FACT. THIS SARKIS ACTUALLY CROUCHES DOWN, LOOKS AT HIS ELBOW, IS HOLDING IT. SHE'S NOT RUNNING BACK.

SHE IS NOT RUNNING BACK TO HIT ANYBODY. SHE'S NOT RUNNING BACK TO ENGAGE WITH ANYBODY. NOT YOUR

CLIENT. SHE'S GONE ON HER WAY. 1 MR. GERAGOS: TO STAB SOMEBODY ELSE ON STREET. 2 THE COURT: BUT THERE'S NO EVIDENCE OF THAT. 3 4 COUNSEL. MR. GERAGOS: WELL, BECAUSE SHE GOT KNOCKED OUT, 5 THANK GOD. THANK GOD SOMEBODY HAD THE WHEREWITHAL TO 6 HIT HER IN THE HEAD AND THEN KICK HER. 7 THE COURT: IF YOU LOOK AT THE VIDEO, COUNSEL, SHE 8 SEEMS TO WALKING DOWN THE STREET UNDER HER OWN POWER. 9 SHE DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE WAVING HER ARM ANYMORE. 10 DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE GOING TO STAB ANYBODY. IN FACT --11 OKAY. SO. I DON'T FIND THAT WHAT YOUR 12 CLIENT DID IS IN DEFENSE OF ANOTHER OR SELF-DEFENSE 13 LEGALLY UNDER THE LAW, AND I DON'T FIND FLEEING FELON 14 EITHER BECAUSE IT WASN'T AS IF THEY WENT AND AT TACKLED 15 HER -- RAN AFTER HER, TACKLED HER ON THE GROUND AND 16 CALLED THE POLICE TO APPREHEND, TO MAKE SURE THAT SHE 17 WAS APPREHENDED IN THE LAW. INSTEAD, WHAT THEY DO IS 18 THERE ARE A NUMBER OF KICKS OF HER. I DO SEE THAT THERE 19 WAS SWINGING OF LEGS BY YOUR CLIENT, AND THEN HE RUNS 20 AWAY FROM THE SCENE. 21 MR. JARVIS ALSO TESTIFIES THREE MALES ARE 22 KICKING HER IN THE HEAD AND IN THE BODY. THE EVIDENCE 23 BEARS THAT OUT WHEN YOU LOOK AT HER. SO I DON'T --24 I FIND THAT SHOULD BE HELD TO ANSWER BASED 25 ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED. THERE'S PROBABLE CAUSE TO 26 BELIEVE THAT THE OFFENSES AS CHARGED IN COUNT 1, BATTERY 27 WITH SERIOUS BODILY, AS WELL AS COUNT 2, ASSAULT BY

28

```
MEANS LIKELY TO PRODUCE GREAT BODILY INJURY. COUNT 1,
1
    PENAL CODE SECTION, 243(D), AND 2, 245(A)(4).
2
                 I FIND THAT HE CAN BE HELD -- THAT THOSE
3
    HAVE OCCURRED. HE'S HELD TO ANSWER ON THOSE CHARGES.
4
          MS. BROOKENS: CAN YOU FIND THE ALLEGATION TRUE,
5
    YOUR HONOR?
6
          THE COURT: AS TO?
7
          MS. BROOKENS: COUNT 2, THE 12022.7(A).
8
          THE COURT: YES. YES, THAT THE PERSON THAT -- THE
9
    DEFENDANT, GARUNTS, PERSONALLY INFLICTED GREAT BODILY
10
    INJURY WITHIN THE MEANING 12022.7(A). I DO FIND THAT AS
11
    WELL. I JUST DON'T FIND SELF DEFENSE HERE BECAUSE IF
12
    SHE HAD RETURNED TO THEM AND ENGAGED THEM IN A FIGHT OR
13
    SOMETHING LIKE THAT, THEN MAYBE ALL BETS ARE OFF AT THAT
14
    POINT, AND WHO KNOWS WHAT COULD HAVE HAPPENED. BUT SHE
15
    HAS CROSSED THE, AND THEY ARE NOW PURSUING HER.
          MR. GERAGOS: RIGHT, AND SO THAT DOESN'T GO STAB
17
     SOMEBODY DOWN THE STREET.
18
          THE COURT: THEN, IF THAT WERE THE CASE --
19
          MR. GERAGOS: RIGHT.
20
          THE COURT: THEN, I DON'T FIND THAT'S THE REASON
21
     WHY -- BASED --
22
                 I DON'T FIND THAT'S THE REASON THEY WERE --
23
                  IT DOESN'T MATTER WHAT THE REASON WAS --
24
           MR. GERAGOS: I UNDERSTAND.
25
           THE COURT: -- BUT I JUST DON'T FIND, MR. GERAGOS,
26
     THAT THEY WERE DOING THE COMMUNITY A FAVOR HERE BY
27
     KICKING HER AND SUSTAINING THE INJURIES.
28
```

MR. GERAGOS: IT IS OKAY BECAUSE THE GREAT THING 1 ABOUT OUR SYSTEM IS THAT THE COMMUNITY WILL BE 12 PEOPLE 2 IN A JURY BOX, AND AFTER THE COMMUNITY ISSUES THEIR 3 VERDICT IN THIS CASE, I WILL BE BACK DOWN HERE, AND YOU'LL HAVE A CHAT ABOUT IT AND SEE WHAT THE COMMUNITY 5 THINKS ABOUT WHO -- THE D.A.'S OFFICE SHOULD BE 6 PROTECTING IN THIS CASE. 7 THE COURT: WELL, COUNSEL, MR. GERAGOS, WITH ALL 8 DUE RESPECT, I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. WHAT I'M 9 TRYING TO SAY HERE -- AND I USE THAT PHRASE. I'M JUST 10 SAYING YOU'RE TRYING TO SAY THAT THEY WERE DOING IT TO 11 MAKE SURE SHE DIDN'T RUN AFTER AND STAB OTHER PEOPLE. 12 OKAY? 13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I DON'T HAVE ANY EVIDENCE THAT SHE WAS GOING TO DO THAT. THE EVIDENCE I HAVE DOESN'T SHOW SELF-DEFENSE. THE EVIDENCE I HAVE SHOWS PROBABLE CAUSE FOR COUNTS --

MR. GERAGOS: DOESN'T IT SHOW SOMEBODY JUST COMPLETELY OUT OF CONTROL? I MEAN, WHO IS IT THAT GOES AROUND -- YOU CAN'T JUSTIFY HER BEHAVIOR ON MERE WORDS. CLEAR? AND THAT'S BASIC --

THE COURT: BUT HERE'S WHERE I COME DOWN, MR. GERAGOS. WHERE I COME DOWN IS IF SHE SWINGS WILDLY AND CUTS SARKIS AND THEN SHE COMES BACK AND SHE ENGAGES THEM IN A FIGHT, THAT'S ONE THING, BUT I TAKE WHAT MS. BROOKENS SAID. AS SHE SAID, SHE HAD SEPARATED --AS MS. MS. BROOKENS SAID, SHE SEPARATED

HERSELF FROM INDIVIDUALS. SHE WAS WALKING AWAY. SHE

CROSSED THE STREET. OKAY? AND TO ME, IT'S NOT

SELF-DEFENSE AT THAT POINT. THERE'S NO IMMINENT DANGER

AT THAT POINT FOR SELF-DEFENSE THAT --

I DO NOT FIND THE DEFENDANT HERE HAD REASON TO BELIEVE THAT AN IMMEDIATE USE OF FORCE WAS NECESSARY TO DEFEND AGAINST THE DANGER. THERE WAS NO IMMEDIATE USE OF FORCE NECESSARY. SHE HAD WALKED AWAY. SHE WAS GOING ABOUT HER BUSINESS. WHETHER OR NOT SHE WAS GOING TO GO STAB SOMEBODY ELSE, I DON'T KNOW, AND, FRANKLY, YOU DON'T KNOW EITHER. OKAY?

MR. GERAGOS: WELL, I KNOW THAT SHE -- THAT SHE -THE COURT: AND -- AND -- AND BASED UPON WHAT SHE
SAID IN HER SECOND INTERVIEW --

BASED UPON WHAT SHE SAID IN HER SECOND INTERVIEW, SHE'S CLAIMING THAT THEY WERE YELLING AT HER AND PRIOR TO HER SWINGING OUT AT THEM, AND SO IT MAY WELL BE THE CASE THAT THE REASON SHE WAS SWINGING OUT AT THEM WAS BECAUSE THEY WERE YELLING AT HER, YELLING THOSE THINGS ABOUT HER BEING A FAG AND THINGS LIKE THAT, AND --

BUT FOR THAT, SHE MAY NOT BE SWINGING HER ARMS AND, THEREFORE, MR. GERAGOS, UNLESS SOMEONE ELSE IS IS GOING TO BE, AS SHE WALKS DOWN THE STREET, CALLING HER THOSE NAMES AND THINGS LIKE THAT, I DOUBT -- AND I DON'T THINK YOU KNOW OR I KNOW WHETHER SHE'S GOING TO BE STABBING ANYBODY ELSE BECAUSE, SIR, AT THAT POINT, SHE'S AWAY FROM MR. DIAZ. NO ONE IS CALLING HER NAME.

SO ALL I HAVE WHAT YOU HAVE. WHAT I HAVE,

MOST IMPORTANTLY, IS WHAT I HAVE IN FRONT OF ME, AND I 1 DON'T SEE ANY SELF-DEFENSE HERE WITH THIS CASE. OKAY? ALL RIGHT? SO I KNOW YOU CAN --3 YOU CAN BEG TO DIFFER. I UNDERSTAND THAT, 4 COUNSEL. 5 MS. BROOKENS: AND YOUR HONOR, THERE IS ALSO A 6 PROCEDURE THING. I HAVE A VICTIM HERE. SHE WAS 7 SUBPOENAED BY THE DEFENSE. SHE HAS BEEN HERE SINCE 8:30 8 THIS MORNING. I WILL BE SUBMITTED A GREEN FORM LATER 9 BECAUSE A -- CONFUSED AS TO WHY SHE WAS SUBPOENAED. SHE 10 HAS BEEN SITTING HERE ALL DAY. 11 MR. GERAGOS: SHE WAS HERE BECAUSE DEPENDING ON 12 WHAT THE OFFICER TESTIFIED --13 THE COURT: I MEAN, I WASN'T --14 OBVIOUSLY, I KNOW YOU CAN PUT ON A WITNESS 15 TO ASSERT AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, AND YOU CHOSE NOT TO 16 DO SO BECAUSE YOU -- YOU GUYS PREVIOUSLY INFORMED ME 17 BEFOREHAND. 18 MS. BROOKENS: AND WHILE I UNDERSTAND THAT, BASED 19 ON THE STATEMENTS THAT WAS ELICITED, THERE WAS NOTHING 20 IN HER STATEMENTS THAT WOULD -- UNLESS THERE'S ANY 21 STATEMENT THAT I DON'T KNOW ABOUT THAT WOULD HAVE SHOWN 22 A STATEMENT THAT WOULD HAVE SUPPORTED HIS AFFIRMATIVE 23 DEFENSE OR A STATEMENT THAT WOULD HAVE NEGATED AN 24 ELEMENT OF THE CHARGE. 25 THE COURT: SO WHAT --26 MS. BROOKENS: I WAS JUST INFORMING THE COURT THAT

I INTEND TO ISSUE A GREEN FORM BECAUSE YOU HAVEN'T SEEN

27

28